

## Social Emotional Health Priority Group Meeting Minutes

Date: May 12, 2021 Time: 10:00 am- 11:30 am

Location: Microsoft Teams

## I. Review of Prioritization Exercise

## **Discussion Points**

- Review of prioritization table and all of the measures and strategies that were considered by the group.
- Performance Measures (PM) that emerged:
  - o % change in KRA scores as a result of COVID-19
  - o # of programs opting into the use of social emotional assessment tools
  - o # of providers having social emotional awareness built into their programming
- Strategies that emerged:
  - o Provide roadmap to social emotional resources for families (ties to PM 1)
  - Support ECE professionals in regards to their own social emotional health (ties to PM 2)
- Discussion
  - Hard to see connection between KRA measure and strategies. Focus on KRA
    could be challenging for several reasons: focus becomes on academics; access to
    KRA data; connection to KRA and work of coalition could be tough; KRA does
    not fully demonstrate a child's readiness from a social emotional health
    perspective; KRA scores will be skewed for several reasons.
  - Other performance measures are output and not outcome measures what do they change for the child?

Conclusion/	- Move change in KRA score to long term measure. Will revisit at a later date.
Actions	- Brittany to provide KRA resources for the group that speak to data and how the KRA
	address social emotional health.
	- Additional work needed on language in other performance

II. Performance Measure and Strategy Discussion - #1		
Discussion Points	<ul> <li>Consider changing language in performance measure to screening tools vs. assessment tools.</li> <li>DECA training is available throughout the county for programs</li> <li>Starting Point collects DECA data twice a year.</li> <li>Strategy #1 – need to define what we mean by social emotional health.</li> <li>Some do it all day long, some programs layer in curriculum by not all.</li> </ul>	
Conclusion/ Actions	- Alison to work on drafting new language for measure.	

Discussion	• Performance measure – Process measure consideration: How many programs are offering
Points	<ul> <li>curriculum and/or training their staff? Consider creating survey looking at number of hours of training provided; connection to parents, what has the impact been on children, families, etc?         <ul> <li>Can play into a cultural shift – what a classroom looks like; what is the internally programming in place? Could help to define what the characteristics of success ar that result in better outcomes for kids.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Strategy: Really important – cannot be successful in helping kids if we are not helping the staff. A roadmap for professionals could be helpful.         <ul> <li>Survey can be generated to understand what trainings and resources are available and promoted to staff.</li> <li>Locally there is a lot of work going on around compassion fatigue and secondary trauma for ECE professionals.</li> <li>The Greater Cleveland Families Strengthening Network is a fairly new organization. They are having a self-care webinar coming up.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Conclusion/ Actions	<ul> <li>Alison will draft new performance measure language.</li> <li>Alison to generate initial survey questions based on conversation for review.</li> </ul>	

V. Next Meeting		
Discussion Points	Group prefers to schedule a standing meeting. Alison will circulate meeting poll and schedule future meetings based on responses.	

Minutes Prepared by: Alison Patrick